



Research Article

On the Schur m -Power Convexity of the Generalized Invariant Contra-Harmonic Means

Tao Zhang ^a, Bo-Yan Xi ^b^aCollege of Mathematics Science, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Hohhot, 010022, China.^bCollege of Mathematical Sciences, Inner Mongolia Minzu University, Tongliao, Inner Mongolia, 028043, China.

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the Schur m -power convexity of the generalized invariant contra harmonic means, then some related results are generalized. As applications, some new inequalities involving Gini mean, arithmetic mean, geometric mean and harmonic mean are established.

Keywords: Schur m -power convexity, invariant contra harmonic means, convex functions

2020 MSC: 26E60, 26B25.

1. Introduction

As we all know, inequalities are an important mathematical tool for studying natural sciences. Convex functions play a very important role in constructing and proving inequalities. Schur-convex functions are a generalized convex functions and are often used to establish and prove many important symmetric inequalities [1].

In 2017, K. R. Sampath et al. defined the inverse contra harmonic mean as follows([2]):

$$V(a, b) = \frac{H(a^2, b^2)}{H(a, b)} = \frac{ab(a+b)}{a^2 + b^2},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$ and $H(a, b) = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}} = \frac{2ab}{a+b}$ is the harmonic mean. Moreover, they established the following results.

Theorem 1.1 ([2, Theorem 2.1]). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then*

- (1) $V(a, b)$ is Schur m -power convex if $-\frac{2}{3} < m < \frac{1}{2}$;
- (2) $V(a, b)$ is Schur m -power concave if $m \in (-\infty - \frac{2}{3}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, +\infty)$.

In 2024, Shi et al. defined the generalized invariant contra harmonic means $V_k(a, b)$ and $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ in [3] as follows:

*Corresponding author. Email: zhangtaomath@imnu.edu.cn

Email addresses: zhangtaomath@imnu.edu.cn (Tao Zhang *), baoyintu78@qq.com (Bo-Yan Xi)

doi: 10.63286/jima.042

Received: 14 November 2025 Revised: 21 January 2026??? Accepted: 4 March 2026



Definition 1.2 ([3, Deffnition 5]). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p, q, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \leq q$. Define

$$V_{p,q}(a, b) = \frac{H(a^q, b^q)}{H(a^p, b^p)} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$V_k(a, b) = V_{k,k+1}(a, b),$$

where $H(a, b)$ is the harmonic mean.

Moreover, they established the following results.

Theorem 1.3 ([3, Theorem 4]). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (a) If $0 \leq m \leq 1$, then $V_k(a, b)$ is Schur m -power concave with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$;
- (b) $V_k(a, b)$ is Schur-geometrically concave with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$;
- (c) $V_k(a, b)$ is Schur-harmonically concave with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$.

Theorem 1.4 ([3, Corollary 6]). Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p < q$.

- (a) If $0 \leq m \leq 1$, then $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur m -power concave with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$;
- (b) $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur-geometrically concave with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$;
- (c) $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur-harmonically concave with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$.

In this paper, we generalize the condition of $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \leq q$ in Definition 1.2 to $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $q \neq p$, and give the following definition.

Definition 1.5. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $q \neq p$. Define generalized invariant contra harmonic means

$$V_{p,q}(a, b) = \frac{H(a^q, b^q)}{H(a^p, b^p)}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $H(a, b)$ is the harmonic mean.

Moreover, we discuss the Schur m -power convexity of $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p \neq q$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$, then Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are generalized. Furthermore, we establish some new inequalities by using the Schur m -power convexity of $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ and the theory of majorization.

2. Schur m -power convexity and some lemmas

In order to verify our main results, the following definitions are necessary.

Definition 2.1 ([1, 4]). Let $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n), \mathbf{y} := (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- (1) \mathbf{x} is said to be majorized by \mathbf{y} (in symbols $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i,$$

where $x_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq x_{[n]}$ and $y_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq y_{[n]}$ are rearrangements of x and y in a descending order.

- (2) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. The function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a Schur convex function on Ω if $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$ on Ω implies $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$. φ is said to be a Schur concave function on Ω if and only if $-\varphi$ is a Schur convex function.

Definition 2.2 ([5–7]). Let $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^m - 1}{m}, & m \neq 0; \\ \ln x, & m = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

The function $\varphi: \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Schur m -power convex on Ω if

$$f(\mathbf{x}) := (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)) \prec f(\mathbf{y}) := (f(y_1), \dots, f(y_n)) \tag{2.2}$$

on Ω implies that $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$.

Remark 2.3. Putting $f(x) = x; \ln x; x^{-1}$ in Definition 2.2 yield the Schur-convexity (see [1, 4]), Schur-geometrical convexity (see [8, 9]) and Schur-harmonic convexity (see [10–12]), respectively.

In the papers [13–22], for example, there have been many results on investigations of the Schur m -convexity and related ones.

Now, we introduce several lemmas.

Lemma 2.4 ([5–7]). *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^n$ be a symmetric set with nonempty interior Ω° and $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be continuous on Ω and differentiable in Ω° . Then φ is Schur m -power convex on Ω if and only if φ is symmetric on Ω and*

$$\frac{x_1^m - x_2^m}{m} \left(x_1^{1-m} \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} - x_2^{1-m} \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \right) \geq 0, \text{ if } m \neq 0, \mathbf{x} \in \Omega^\circ \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$(\ln x_1 - \ln x_2) \left(x_1 \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} - x_2 \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \right) \geq 0, \text{ if } m = 0, \mathbf{x} \in \Omega^\circ. \tag{2.4}$$

Remark 2.5. Letting $m = 1, 0, -1$ in Lemma 2.4 respectively, we can deduce the criteria theorems for the Schur-convexity (see [1, 4]), Schur-geometrical convexity (see [8, 9]), and Schur-harmonic convexity (see [10–12]) respectively.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$. The Gini mean [23] are defined as

$$G_{p,q}(a, b) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{a^q + b^q} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}}, & p \neq q, \\ \exp \left(\frac{a^p \ln a + b^p \ln b}{a^p + b^p} \right), & p = q. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Yang established the following necessary and sufficient conditions for the Schur m -convexity of Gini mean in [7]:

Lemma 2.6 ([7, Theorem 1.1 and 1.2]). *For $m > 0$ and fixed $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, Gini mean $G_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur m -power convex (Schur m -power concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $p + q \geq (\leq) m$ and $\min\{p, q\} \geq (\leq) 0$.*

Lemma 2.7 ([7, Theorem 1.3 and 1.4]). *For $m < 0$ and fixed $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, Gini mean $G_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur m -power convex (Schur m -power concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $p + q \geq (\leq) m$ and $\max\{p, q\} \geq (\leq) 0$.*

Lemma 2.8 ([7, Theorem 1.5]). *For $m = 0$ and fixed $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, Gini mean $G_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur-geometrically convex (Schur-geometrically concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $p + q \geq (\leq) 0$.*

3. Schur m -power convexity of $V_{p,q}(a, b)$

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $q \neq p$. By the definition of generalized invariant contra harmonic mean (1.2) and the definition of Gini mean (2.5), we have

$$V_{p,q}(a, b) = \frac{H(a^q, b^q)}{H(a^p, b^p)} = \frac{a^{-p} + b^{-p}}{a^{-q} + b^{-q}} = [G_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}(a, b)]^{q-p}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\bar{q} := -q$ and $\bar{p} = -p$. Therefore, we first investigate the Schur m -power convexity relationship between $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ and $G_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}(a, b)$.

Theorem 3.1. *For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $p \neq q$. Then the Schur m -power convexity of $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is equivalent to the Schur m -power convexity of the $(q - p)G_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}(a, b)$ on $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, where $G_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}(a, b)$ is defined by (3.1).*

Proof. For any $m \in \mathbb{R}$ with $m \neq 0$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$, it is easy to deduce

$$\frac{a^m - b^m}{m}(a - b) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\ln a - \ln b)(a - b) \geq 0.$$

For $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$, by the (2.3) and (2.4) in Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (a - b) \left(a^{1-m} \frac{\partial V_{p,q}(a,b)}{\partial a} - b^{1-m} \frac{\partial V_{p,q}(a,b)}{\partial b} \right) \\ &= (q - p)(a - b) \left(a^{1-m} \frac{\partial G_{\bar{p},\bar{q}}(a,b)}{\partial a} - b^{1-m} \frac{\partial G_{\bar{p},\bar{q}}(a,b)}{\partial b} \right) [G_{\bar{p},\bar{q}}(a,b)]^{q-p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So, the Schur m -power convexity of $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ and $(q - p)G_{\bar{p},\bar{q}}(a, b)$ are equivalent. Theorem 3.1 is thus proved. \square

Now, we use Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.6 to prove the following Theorems 3.2.

Theorem 3.2. For $m > 0$ and some fixed $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $p \neq q$.

(1) If $q > p$, then $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur m -power convex (Schur m -power concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $p + q \leq (\geq) -m$ and $q \leq (\geq) 0$;

(2) If $q < p$, then $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur m -power convex (Schur m -power concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $p + q \geq (\leq) -m$ and $p \geq (\leq) 0$.

Proof. If $q > p$, by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.6, $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur m -power convex (Schur m -power concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if

$$\bar{p} + \bar{q} = -(p + q) \geq (\leq) m \quad \text{and} \quad \min\{\bar{p}, \bar{q}\} = \min\{-p, -q\} \geq (\leq) 0.$$

Therefore (1) is true. A similar discussion leads to (2). The proof of Theorem 3.2 is completed. \square

Similarly, we can use Theorem 3.1 and Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 to prove the following Theorems 3.3 and 3.4.

Theorem 3.3. For $m < 0$ and some fixed $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $p \neq q$.

(1) If $q > p$, then $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur m -power convex (Schur m -power concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $p + q \leq (\geq) -m$ and $p \leq (\geq) 0$;

(2) If $q < p$, then $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur m -power convex (Schur m -power concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $p + q \geq (\leq) -m$ and $q \geq (\leq) 0$.

Theorem 3.4. For $m = 0$ and some fixed $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $p \neq q$.

(1) If $q > p$, then $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur-geometrically convex (Schur-geometrically concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $p + q \leq (\geq) 0$;

(2) If $q < p$, then $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur-geometrically convex (Schur-geometrically concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $p + q \geq (\leq) 0$.

Taking $q = p + 1$ in Theorems 3.2 – 3.4, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.5. Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and some fixed $p \in \mathbb{R}$.

(1) If $m > 0$, then $V_p(a, b)$ is Schur m -power convex (Schur m -power concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $2p + 1 \leq (\geq) -m$ and $p + 1 \leq (\geq) 0$;

(2) If $m < 0$, then $V_p(a, b)$ is Schur m -power convex (Schur m -power concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $2p + 1 \leq (\geq) -m$ and $p \leq (\geq) 0$;

(3) If $m = 0$, then $V_p(a, b)$ is Schur-geometrically (Schur-geometrically concave) with respect to $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $2p + 1 \leq (\geq) 0$.

Putting $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ in Theorems 3.2 – 3.4, we have

Corollary 3.6. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p < q$.

- (1) If $m > 0$, then $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur m -power concave with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$;
- (2) If $m < 0$, then $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur m -power concave with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ if and only if $p + q \geq -m$;
- (3) If $m = 0$, then $V_{p,q}(a, b)$ is Schur-geometrically concave $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$.

Remark 3.7. Clearly, Corollary 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 are generalizations of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 respectively.

Next, we will use Theorem 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 to establish some new inequalities involving generalized invariant contra harmonic means, arithmetic mean and geometric mean.

For $m, p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Using the (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

$$(\ln G(a, b), \ln G(a, b)) \prec (\ln a, \ln b), \text{ for } m \neq 0$$

and

$$(A(a^m, b^m), A(a^m, b^m)) \prec (a^m, b^m), \text{ for } m \neq 0,$$

where $A(a, b) = \frac{a+b}{2}$ and $G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ are the arithmetic mean and geometric mean.

By the generalized invariant contra harmonic means (1.2) and the Theorem 3.4(1), we acquire

Theorem 3.8. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p < q$, the inequality

$$[G(a, b)]^{q-p} (a^p + b^p) \geq (\leq) a^q + b^q \tag{3.2}$$

holds for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ if and only if $p + q \leq (\geq) 0$ and $p \leq (\geq) 0$, where $G(a, b)$ is the geometric mean.

Using the Theorems 3.2(1) and 3.3(1), we have

Theorem 3.9. Let $m, p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $m \neq 0$ and $p < q$.

- 1. If $m > 0$, the inequality

$$[G(a, b)]^{q-p} [a^p + b^p] \geq (\leq) [A(a^m, b^m)]^{\frac{q-p}{m}} [a^q + b^q] \tag{3.3}$$

holds for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ if and only if $p + q \leq (\geq) -m$ and $q \leq (\geq) 0$;

- 2. If $m < 0$, the inequality

$$[G(a, b)]^{q-p} [a^p + b^p] \geq (\leq) [A(a^m, b^m)]^{\frac{q-p}{m}} [a^q + b^q] \tag{3.4}$$

holds for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ if and only if $p + q \leq (\geq) -m$ and $p \leq (\geq) 0$,

where $A(a, b)$ and $G(a, b)$ are the arithmetic mean and geometric mean.

The identity mean and logarithmic mean of $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are respectively defined by

$$L(a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a}, & a \neq b, \\ a, & a = b. \end{cases} \quad I(a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a} \right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}}, & a \neq b, \\ a, & a = b, \end{cases}$$

It is well known that the following interesting inequalities hold:

$$H(a, b) \leq G(a, b) \leq L(a, b) \leq I(a, b) \leq A(a, b), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{3.5}$$

Based on the inequalities (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we propose the following open problem.

Open problem 3.1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p < q$. What conditions must p and q satisfy for the inequalities

$$V_{p,q}(a, b) \leq I(a, b) \quad \text{and} \quad V_{p,q}(a, b) \leq L(a, b)$$

to hold ?

Funding

The second author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12361013). The first author was partially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (Grant No. 2025LHMS01004).

Acknowledgements

The authors appreciate anonymous referees for their careful corrections to the original version of this paper.

Use of AI Tools

AI tools were not employed in generating, analyzing, or interpreting the results.

References

- [1] A. W. Marshall, I. Olkin, and B. C. Arnold, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications*, Springer, New York/Dordrecht/Heidelberg/London, 2011. DOI: [10.1007/978-0-387-68276-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-68276-1)
- [2] R. K. Sampath and K. M. Nagaraja, *The convexities of invariant contra harmonic mean with respect to geometric mean*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 116(22), 2017, 407–412.
- [3] H.-N. Shi, F. Wang, J.-Z. Li, and W.-S. Du, *On Schur power convexity of generalized invariant contra harmonic means with respect to geometric means*, Open Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 8(1), 2024, 45–56.
- [4] B.-Y. Wang, *Foundations of Majorization Inequalities*, Beijing Normal University Press, Beijing, China, 1990, (in Chinese).
- [5] Z.-H. Yang, *Schur power convexity of Stolarsky means*, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen, 80(1-2), 2012, 43–66. DOI: [10.5486/PMD.2012.4812](https://doi.org/10.5486/PMD.2012.4812)
- [6] Z.-H. Yang, *Schur power convexity of the Daróczy means*, Mathematical Inequalities & Applications, 16(3), 2013, 751–762. DOI: [10.7153/mia-16-57](https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-16-57)
- [7] Z.-H. Yang, *Schur power convexity of Gini means*, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 50(2), 2013, 485–498. DOI: [10.4134/BKMS.2013.50.2.485](https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2013.50.2.485)
- [8] Y.-M. Chu, X.-M. Zhang, and G.-D. Wang, *The Schur geometrical convexity of the extended mean values*, Journal of Convex Analysis, 15(4), 2008, 707–718.
- [9] X.-M. Zhang, *Geometrically Convex Functions*, Anhui University Press, Anhui, 2004, (in Chinese).
- [10] Y.-M. Chu, G.-D. Wang, and X.-H. Zhang, *The Schur multiplicative and harmonic convexities of the complete symmetric function*, Mathematische Nachrichten, 284, 2011, 653–663. DOI: [10.1002/mana.200810197](https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.200810197)
- [11] W.-F. Xia and Y.-M. Chu, *Schur-convexity for a Class of symmetric functions and its applications*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2009, Art. 493759, pp. 1–15.
- [12] W.-F. Xia and Y.-M. Chu, *The Schur convexity of Gini mean values in the sense of harmonic mean*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, Series B, English Edition, 31(3), 2001, 1103–1112. DOI: [10.1016/S0252-9602\(11\)60301-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(11)60301-9)
- [13] F. Qi, X.-T. Shi, M. Mahmoud, and F.-F. Liu, *Schur-convexity of the Catalan–Qi function related to the Catalan numbers*, Tbilisi Mathematical Journal, 9(2), 2016, 141–150. DOI: [10.1515/tmj-2016-0026](https://doi.org/10.1515/tmj-2016-0026)
- [14] H.-N. Shi, Y.-M. Jiang and W.-D. Jiang, *Schur convexity and Schur geometrical convexity of Gini mean*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 57(2), 2009, 266–274.
- [15] H.-N. Shi, J. Zhang, D.-M. Li, and P. Cerone, *Schur-Geometric Convexity for Differences of Means*, Applied Mathematics E-Notes, 10, 2010, 275–284.
- [16] Y. Wu, F. Qi, and H.-N. Shi, *Schur-harmonic convexity for differences of some special means in two variables*, Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, 8(2), 2014, 321–330. DOI: [10.7153/jmi-08-23](https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-08-23)
- [17] B.-Y. Xi, D.-D. Gao, T. Zhang, B.-N. Guo, and F. Qi, *Shannon type inequalities for Kapur’s entropy*, Mathematics, 7(1), 2019, Art. 22, pp. 1–8. DOI: [10.3390/math7010022](https://doi.org/10.3390/math7010022)
- [18] B.-Y. Xi, Y. Wu, H.-N. Shi, and F. Qi, *Generalizations of several inequalities related to multivariate geometric means*, Mathematics, 7(6), 2019, Art. 552, pp. 1–15. DOI: [10.3390/math7060552](https://doi.org/10.3390/math7060552)
- [19] B.-Y. Xi and F. Qi, *Necessary and sufficient conditions of Schur m -power convexity of a new mixed mean*, Filomat, 38(19), 2024, 6937–6944. DOI: [10.2298/FIL2419937X](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2419937X)
- [20] H.-P. Yin, H.-N. Shi, and F. Qi, *On Schur m -power convexity for ratios of some means*, Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, 9(1), 2015, 145–153. DOI: [10.7153/jmi-09-14](https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-09-14)
- [21] T. Zhang and F. Qi, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for a mixed bivariate mean of three parameters to be Schur m -power convex*, Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, 9(1), 2015, 145–153. DOI: [10.7153/jmi-09-14](https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-09-14)
- [22] F. Qi, J. Sándor, S. S. Dragomir, and A. Sofo, *Notes on the Schur-convexity of the extended mean values*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, 9(3), 2005, 411–420. DOI: [10.11650/twj/1500407849](https://doi.org/10.11650/twj/1500407849)
- [23] C. Gini, *Diuna formula comprensiva delle media*, Metron, 13, 1938, 3–22.